Reactions of Phosphonium Ylides with  $\mathbf{S}_8$  or Se in the Presence of Amines. Formation of Thioaldehydes or Selenoaldehydes

Kentaro OKUMA,\* Yasuo KOMIYA, and Hiroshi OHTA

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Fukuoka University,

Jonan-ku, Fukuoka 814-01

The reaction of phosphonium ylides with elemental sulfur gave thioaldehydes which were successfully changed to corresponding thioamides when treated with secondary amines. On the other hand, the reaction of selenoaldehydes with secondary amines afforded bis(dialkylamino)methanes in good yields.

Phosphonium ylides (1) have been widely utilized for the synthesis of olefins 1) and also known to react with sulfur to afford thiocarbonyl compounds. 2) The chemistry of thio-3) (2) and selenoaldehydes 4) (3) has been of current interest. Recently, we have reported that the reaction of 1 with elemental selenium afforded symmetrical olefins via selenocarbonyl intermediates 5) and the reaction of 1 with episulfides also gave symmetrical olefins via thiocarbonyl intermediates. 6) Compounds 2 and 3 are very reactive and easily oligomerize to give trimers or complex mixture of polymers. The reactions of 2 and 3 with dienes produced the corresponding Diels-Alder adducts.  $^{3,4,6)}$  However, to our knowledge, relatively few reports concerning the reactivity of these compounds have appeared because of their instability. These results prompted us to investigate the reactivity of 2 and 3. In this communication, we would like to report that the reaction of 1 with 8 or 8 in the presence of amines afforded thioamides (4) and bis(dialkylamino)methanes (5) in good yields.

We first tried the reaction of 2 with amines: To a solution of carbomethoxymethylenetriphenylphosphorane (1a, 10 mmol) and morpholine (20 mmol) in toluene (25 ml) was added a solution of sulfur (10 mmol) in toluene (20 ml). After refluxing for 12 h, the reaction mixture was washed with water and

1146 Chemistry Letters, 1988

evaporated to give the corresponding thioamide (4a) and triphenylphosphine sulfide in 75 and 90% yields, respectively. As shown in Table 1, compounds 4b-4e were prepared in a similar manner. Since 2 was known to be synthesized by the reaction of the corresponding sulfenyl chlorides with triethylamine, 3b) we also carried out the same reaction starting from carbomethoxymethanethiol as a starting material. The same product 4a was obtained.

Table 1. Reaction of Thioaldehydes with Amines

Compound		Method <sup>a</sup> )	
Thioaldehyde	Amine		Thioamide <sup>7)</sup> (yield/%)
R			
СООМе	Morpholine	A	<u>4a</u> 75
COOMe	Dimethylamine	A	4b 76
COOMe	Morpholine	В	4 <u>a</u> 66
COOEt	Morpholine	Α	4 <u>c</u> 73
p-NO2-C6H4	morpholine	В	4 <u>d</u> 90
p-NO <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Morpholine	Α	4e 56b)
Ph	Morpholine	В	4a 75 4b 76 4a 66 4c 73 4d 90 4e 56 4e 0

a) Method A; phosphonium ylide + sulfur: Method B; sulfenyl chloride + base b) Benzenesulfenylmorpholide was obtained in 68% yield.

Thioaldehydes were recently prepared by several groups: photolysis of phenacyl sulfide derivatives; 3a) reaction of sulfenyl chlorides with bases; 3b) reaction of Bunte salts with bases; 3b) thermolysis of thiolsulfinate. 8) However, there is no report on the synthesis of 2 from phosphonium ylides. 9) Milligan and Swan reported that the reaction of Bunte salts of carbamoyl derivatives with primary and secondary amines afforded thio-oxaamides and disulfides. suggested that these compounds might be formed, in a complex manner, from thioaldehydes intermediates. 10)

We further tried the reaction of selenoaldehydes with secondary amines. Treatment of phosphonium ylides with elemental selenium resulted in the formation of selenoaldehydes, which further reacted with secondary amines to give bis(dialkylamino)methanes instead of selenoamides. (Table 2)

Table 2. Reaction of Selenoaldehydes wi
---

Ylide	Amine	Diamine <sup>7)</sup> (yield/%)
COOMe	Morpholine	5a 70
COOEt	Morpholine	5b 76
Ph	Morpholine	5c 75
p-NO <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Morpholine	5d 68

Several reports to generate selenoaldehydes have been made: variants of 1,2-elimination reactions employing suitably substituted precursors  $X-RCHSe-Y;^{4}$ ) reaction of sulfur ylides with elemental selenium; 11) reaction of aromatic aldehydes with bis(trimethylsilyl)selenide. However, there is no report on the reaction of 3 with amines.

As to the formation of  $\frac{4}{2}$ , the following mechanism is plausible: thioaldehydes were converted to the corresponding  $\frac{5}{2}$  by treatment with amines in a similar manner to the reaction of amines with aldehydes. The resulting  $\frac{5}{2}$  further reacted with hydrogen sulfide produced to give  $\frac{4}{2}$ . In the case of selenoaldehydes, hydrogen selenide was too unstable to react with  $\frac{5}{2}$ .

## Mechanism:

The above mechanism was confirmed by the following two reactions. When the reaction of 1a with  $S_8$  in the presence of morpholine was carried out at 50 °C, 5a was isolated in 70% yield instead of 4a. Additionally, treatment of 5a with hydrogen sulfide afforded the corresponding thioamide 4a in 65% yield. The difference in the reactivity of selenoaldehyde and thioaldehyde might be owing to the reactivity toward 5: while the reaction of 5a with hydrogen sulfide or elemental sulfur gave thioamide (4a), the same reaction of 5a with selenium resulted in recovery of starting material quantitatively.

In summary, the reaction of thioaldehydes with amines gave the corresponding thioamides in good yields. On the other hand, the reaction of selenoaldehydes with secondary amines afforded bis(dialkylamino)methanes in good yields.

## References

- G. Wittig and U. Schollkopf, Chem. Ber., 87, 1318 (1954). For reviews, see
   J. Emsley and D. Hall, "The Chemistry of Phosphorus," Harper & Row Ltd.,
   London (1976), pp. 274-278; A. Maecker, Org. React., 14, 270 (1965).
- 2) a) H. Staudinger and J. Meyer, Helv. Chim. Acta, <u>2</u>, 635 (1919); b) A. Schönberg, K-H Brosowski, and E. Singer, Chem. Ber., <u>95</u>, 2144 (1962); c) H.

1148 Chemistry Letters, 1988

Tokunaga, K. Akiba, and N. Inamoto, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 45, 506 (1972).

a) E. Vedejs, T. H. Eberlein, and D. L. Varie, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>104</u>, 1445 (1982); b) G. R. Kirby and A. W. Lochead, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., <u>1983</u>, 1325; G. R. Kirby, A. W. Lochead, and G. N. Sheldrake, ibid., <u>1984</u>, 922; c) R. Okazaki, A. Ishii, N. Fukuda, N. Oyama and N. Inamoto, ibid.,

- 922; c) R. Okazaki, A. Ishii, N. Fukuda, N. Oyama and N. Inamoto, ibid., 1982, 1187; R. Okazaki, A. Ishii, and N. Inamoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 109, 279 (1987); d) G. A. Krafft and P. T. Meinke, Tetrahedron Lett., 26, 1947 (1985); e) E. Schaumann and G. Rühter, Tetrahedron Lett., 26, 5265 (1985);
- f) G. Seitz, R. Mohr, W. Overhew, R. Allmann, and M. Nagel, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 23, 890 (1984).
- G. W. Kirby and A. N. Trethewey, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., <u>1986</u>, 1152;
   G. A. Kraft and P. T. Meinke, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>108</u>, 1314 (1987);
   Tetrahedron Lett., <u>28</u>, 5121 (1987).
- 5) K. Okuma, J. Sakata, Y. Tachibana, T. Honda, and H. Ohta, Tetrahedron Lett. 28, 6649 (1987). Recently, quite a similar reaction has been reported; G. Erker, R. Hock, and R. Nolte, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 110, 624 (1988).
- 6) K. Okuma, Y. Yamasaki, T. Komiya, and H. Ohta, Chem. Lett., 1987, 357.
- 7) All of new compounds were characterized spectroscopically and by elemental analysis or exact mass spectra. 4a; mp 77-79 °C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) § 3.56-3.65 (m, 2 H), 3.74-3.86 (m, 4 H), 3.87 (s, 3 1 H), 4.15-4.24 (m, 2 H). 4b; oil, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) § 3.17 (s, 3H), 3.30 (s, 3 H), 3.83 (s, 3 H). 4c; oil, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) § 1.32 (t, 3 H), 3.53-4.11 (m, 8 H), 4.29 (q, 2 H). 4d; mp 194-195 °C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) § 3.61 (br s, 4H), 3.90 (m, 2 H), 4.45 (m, 2H), 7.84 (q, 4 H). 4e; mp 134-134.5 °C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) § 3.62 (br s, 4 H), 3.89 (m, 2 H), 4.48 (m, 2 H), 7.27 (m, 5H). 5a; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) § 2.57 (m, 8 H), 3.35 (s, 1 H), 3.70 (m, 8 H), 3.75 (s, 3H). 5b; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) § 1.31 (t, 3 H), 2.59 (m, 8 H), 3.33 (s, 1 H), 3.70 (m, (H), 4.25 (q, 2 H). 5c; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) § 2.40 (m, 8 H), 3.63 (m, 9H), 7.17 (m, 5 H). 5d; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) § 2.43 (m, 8 H), 3.63 (m, 9 H), 7.78 (q, 4 H). We are grateful to Dr. H. Matsuyama at Tokyo Metropolitan University for the high-resolution mass spectroscopic analysis.
- 8) J. E. Baldwin and R. C. G. Ropez, Tetrahedron, <u>39</u>, 1487 (1983); E. Block and S. Ahmad, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>106</u>, 8265 (1984).
- 9) Tokunaga<sup>2c)</sup> reported that the reaction of phenylmethylenetriphenylphosphorane with elemental sulfur did not produce thiobenzaldehyde but cyclic pentasulfide
- 10) B. Milligan and J. M. Swan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>81</u>, 2969 (1959).
- 11) J. Nakayama, K. Akimoto, J. Niijima, and M. Hoshino, Tetrahedron Lett., 28, 4423 (1987); J. Nakayama and Y. Sugihara, J. Phys. Org. Chem., in press.
- 12) M. Segi, T. Nakajima, S. Suga, S. Murai, and N. Sonoda, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 110, 1976 (1988).
- 13) D. A. Armitage and M. J. Clark, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1970, 104.

(Received April 4, 1988)